



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,580	11/01/2001	Yoon Kean Wong	035451-0166 (3704.Palm)	2720
26371	7590	06/03/2010		
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306				
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3714		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/03/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/001,580

Applicant(s)

WONG ET AL.

Examiner

Pierre E. Elisca

Art Unit

3714

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 April 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 30-37, 39-46, 48-52, 54 and 56-59 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 30-37, 39-46, 48-52, 54 and 56-59 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's RCE filed on 04/26/2010.
2. Claims 30-37, 39-46, 48-52, 54 and 56-59 are currently pending and have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 30-54 and 56-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Ham, Byung I1 (U.S. Pat. No. 6,292,147) and Linkola, Janne (U.S. Pat. No. 6,516,190) in view of Partovi, Hadi et al (U.S. Pat. No. 7,376,586).

As per claims 30-33 and 35-38 Ham discloses a variety of portable devices that have been proposed for incorporated GPS receiver therein, and are becoming increasingly popular due to the availability of low cost GPS receivers and a wide variety of GPS applications. For example, a GPS receiver may be incorporated into a portable

device (e.g., cellular phone, handheld GPS navigation system to provide various functionality such as to determine its location, the system comprising:

A handheld computer comprising a location circuit configured to provide location data based on the location of the handheld computer and wireless transceiver configured to provide wireless communication (see., abstract, figs 2-4, col 1, lines 23-34, col 2, lines 43-60, col 3, lines 9-67).

Ham fails to explicitly disclose wherein said set a price for selling the object based on the location of the object).

However, Linkola discloses a locator object monitor which calculates a charge rates based on the location of the object determines whether the object was in a denoted special rate area at the time that its location was most recently determined (se., Linkola, abstract, col 1-col 4). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the navigation system for cars of Ham by including an insurance product or company that is connected to the navigation system as taught by Linkola since it is an alternate means for acquiring insurance information about the location of a car/object.

It is to be noted that Ham and Linkola fail to explicitly disclose Applicant's newly added limitation of "identifying product associated with the user identifier". Partovi discloses a method/system of identifying product associated with the user identifier (see., abstract, col 1-col 23, col 24, lines 46-57). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of

Ham and Linkola by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Partovi because this would allow insurance company to identify the location of a user.

As per claim 34 Ham discloses a car (or object) navigation system that is adapted to be installed on a car for estimating a location of the car or object, detecting occurrence of an accident and performing communication data, a location unit for generating car location (see., abstract, figs 2-4, col 1, lines 23-34, col 2, lines 43-60, col 3, lines 9-67).

As per claims 39-41, 43-54 and 56-59 Ham discloses a variety of portable devices that have been proposed for incorporated GPS receiver therein, and are becoming increasingly popular due to the availability of low cost GPS receivers and a wide variety of GPS applications. For example, a GPS receiver may be incorporated into a portable device (e.g., cellular phone, handheld GPS navigation system to provide various functionality such as to determine its location, the system comprising:

A handheld computer comprising a location circuit configured to provide location data based on the location of the handheld computer and wireless transceiver configured to provide wireless communication (see., abstract, figs 2-4, col 1, lines 23-34, col 2, lines 43-60, col 3, lines 9-67).

Ham fails to explicitly disclose wherein said set a price for selling the object based on the location of the object).

However, Linkola discloses a locator object monitor which calculates a charge rates based on the location of the object determines whether the object was in a denoted special rate area at the time that its location was most recently determined (se., Linkola, abstract, col 1-col 4). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the navigation system for cars of Ham by including an insurance product or company that is connected to the navigation system as taught by Linkola since it is an alternate means for acquiring insurance information about the location of a car/object.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the navigation system for cars of Ham by including an insurance product or company that is connected to the navigation system as taught by Linkola since it is an alternate means for acquiring insurance information about the location of a car/object.

It is to be noted that Ham and Linkola fail to explicitly disclose Applicant's newly added limitation of "identifying product associated with the user identifier". Partovi discloses a method/system of identifying product associated with the user identifier (see., abstract, col 1-col 23, col 24, lines 46-57). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Ham and Linkola by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Partovi because this would allow insurance company to identify the location of a user.

As per claim 42 Ham discloses a car (or object) navigation method that is adapted to be installed on a car for estimating a location of the car or object, detecting occurrence of an accident and performing communication data, a location unit for generating car location (see., abstract, figs 2-4, col 1, lines 23-34, col 2, lines 43-60, col 3, lines 9-67).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30-37, 39-46, 48-52, 54 and 56-59 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new ground (s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 571 272 6706. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00. Hotel.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571 272 4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pierre E. Elisca/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714